

19 Fulton Street, Suite 407 New York, NY 10038

> tel: (212) 807-6222 fax: (212) 807-6245

e-mail: ncac@ncac.org

web: www.ncac.org

September 25, 2013

Robert A. Lovingood First District Supervisor

Janice Rutherford Chair Second District Supervisor

James Ramos Third District Supervisor

Laura H. Welch
County of San Bernardino
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
385 N. Arrowhead Ave. 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor
San Bernardino,
CA 92415-0130
Fax (909) 387-4554
COB@sbcounty.gov

Gary Ovitt Vice Chair Fourth District Supervisor

Josie Gonzales Fifth District Supervisor

Dear Ms. Welch and Board of Supervisors,

As organizations dedicated to promoting the First Amendment right to free speech, including freedom of artistic expression, we were deeply concerned to learn that officials at the San Bernardino County Government Center ordered the removal of at least three paintings from an exhitition held at the Center because they considered them "inappropriate". This action, based on a subjective dislike of the viewpoint and content of the work, raises serious First Amendment concerns. We urge the Government Center to immediately put the work back on display and, in the future, draft exhibition policies that are consistent with First Amendment principles.

It is our understanding that the Hispanic Heritage Art Exhibit, which showcases artists associated with the Inland Empire Latino Art Association and has been sponsored by the Hispanic Employees Alliance in honor of Hispanic Heritage

Joan E. Bertin

Executive Director

## NCAC PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

Actors' Equity Association American Association of School Administrators

American Association of University Professors

American Association of University Women

American Booksellers Foundation for Free Expression

American Civil Liberties Union

American Ethical Union

American Federation of Teachers

American Federation of Television

American Jewish Committee

American Library Association

American Literary Translators
Association

American Orthopsychiatric Association

American Society of Journalists

& Authors

Americans United for Separation of Church & State

Association of American Publishers Authors Guild

Catholics for Choice

Children's Literature Association

College Art Association

The Creative Coalition

Directors Guild of America

The Dramatists Guild of America

Educational Book & Media Association

First Amendment Lawyers Association

International Reading Association

Lambda Legal

Modern Language Association

National Center for Science Education
National Communication Association

National Council for the Social Studies

National Council of Churches

National Council of Jewish Women

National Council of Teachers of English

National Education Association

The Newspaper Guild/CWA

PEN American Center

People For the American Way

Planned Parenthood Federation of America

Screen Actors Guild

Sexuality Information & Education Council of the U.S.

Society of Children's Book Writers

& Illustrators

Student Press Law Center

Union for Reform Judaism

Union of Democratic Intellectuals
Unitarian Universalist Association

United Church of Christ

Office of Communication

United Methodist Church
United Methodist Communications

Women's American ORT

Writers Guild of America, East

Writers Guild of America, West

Month, opened September 16th. Soon after the opening, Government Center spokesperson Susan Cary requested the removal of one painting by Armando Aleman, and two by Efren Montiel Jimenez. Apparently some visitor to the space had found the works "offensive."

While every single visitor may not necessarily like all of the work in an exhibition, they should also be made aware that, as a public space opened to exhibiting artwork, the Government Center is ruled by the free speech clause in the First Amendment, meaning that government officials cannot arbitrarily impose their prejudices on a curated exhibition.

In removing the work the Government Center is not only violating the free speech rights of the artist and the exhibiting organization, but is also exposing the county to legal liability. Our courts have time and again reaffirmed that the First Amendment prohibits public officials from censoring art they find offensive or provocative. The case of *Hopper v. City of Pasco* (2001) in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals is a case in point. There, the plaintiff artists were invited to display their work at the City Hall, and were then precluded from doing so because the work provoked controversy and public officials considered it "sexually suggestive." The Court noted that Pasco, by opening its display space to expressive activity has evinced "an intent to create a designated public forum." In such a forum, the court concluded, the content-based removal of work would only be justifiable if there is a "compelling state interest and is narrowly drawn to achieve that end."

It is unclear to us what could be "offensive" or "inappropriate" in any of the paintings removed in San Bernardino but, based on our experience, it is likely to be the presence of nude or semi-nude figures. If that is the case, please note that there are representations of nudes in many public places—by far not only in galleries and museums. There are nude sculptures in the capital's public squares and nudes in the friezes of government buildings.

The U.S. Supreme Court has specifically declared that simple representations of nudity are a constitutionally protected form of artistic expression. As the Court has noted multiple times, "nudity alone' does not place otherwise protected material outside the mantle of the First Amendment." *Schad v. Mount Ephraim* (1981), *Jenkins v. Georgia* (1974), *Osborne v. Ohio* (1990). Nor is nudity in art "harmful to minors." Subjective concerns about what children would see do not provide a basis for refusing to exhibit art work: "[R]egardless of the strength of the government's interest' in protecting children, '[t]he level of discourse reaching a mailbox simply cannot be limited to that which would be suitable for a sandbox." *Ashcroft v. ACLU* (2002), and cases cited therein.

The arbitrary and subjectively determined decision to exclude the three paintings from public view fulfills no compelling (or even rational) state interest. No one is well served by this violation of expressive freedom, certainly not the San Bernardino County public, which is illegitimately deprived of the opportunity to view and evaluate artistic work for itself.

We urge you to make sure the work is restored to the exhibition as soon as possible. Please let me know if you would like to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,

Sectlaria Mintcheva Director of Programs National Coalition Against Censorship New York

Peter J. Eliasberg, Legal Director ACLU Foundation of Southern California 1313 W. 8th St. Los Angeles, CA 90017

## cc:

Brad Borrero IELAA Member 909.382.7626 bborrero@sbcda.org

Dena Smith deputy executive officer County of San Bernardino dsmith@cao.sbcounty.gov Rudy Ramirez IELAA President 951.544.1723 trampramirez@yahoo.com

David Wert, public information officer County of San Bernardino dwert@cao.sbcounty.gov